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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.004 \AA$
Disorder in solvent or counterion
$R$ factor $=0.040$
$w R$ factor $=0.107$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=14.0$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## Tetraaqua(1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione- $\kappa^{2} N, N^{\prime}$ )manganese(II) sulfate dihydrate

The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{SO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was obtained by the reaction of manganese(II) sulfate with an equivalent of 1,10 -phenanthroline-5,6-dione in aqueous solution. $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ is hexacoordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry by the two N atoms from the phenanthroline-dione ligand and the O atoms from four coordinated water molecules. Both the cation and anion lie about mirror planes. The structure is stabilized by an extensive network of O H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds.

## Comment

1,10-Phenanthroline-5,6-dione is a versatile ligand for the assembly of metal-organic materials (Calderazzo et al., 2002). It seems always to utilize the imine N atoms to bind metal ions, leaving the diketone O atoms uncoordinated, as found in the crystal structures of its complexes with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}$ or II) (Galet et al., 2005), $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ (Fujihara et al., 2003a, 2004; Yokoyama, Wakabayashi et al., 2006; Rusanova et al., 2002) and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ (Hadadzadeh et al., 2006) while keeping the diketone unit uncoordinated. Owing to its redox activity, the dione in a metal-free state and in complexes with transition metals shows strong electrocatalytic activity (Hilt et al., 1997; Yokoyama et al., 2005, Yokoyama, Asakura et al., 2006; Fujihara et al., $2003 b$ ). We report here the preparation and structure of the title compound, (I), the first phenanthroline-dione complex of Mn (II) (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

(I)

Both the complex cation and the sulfate anion lie about mirror planes, hence the asymmetric unit consists of one halfcation, one half-anion, and a water molecule. As expected, the phenanthrolinedione ligand coordinates to the central Mn (II) atom via its imine N atoms with the dione O atoms not involved in coordination to the metal. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ double-bond length $[1.209(3) \AA]$ is the same as the value reported for the metal-free phenanthroline-dione (Calderazzo et al., 1999) and comparable to other phenanthrolinedione complexes.

The structure is stabilized by an extensive network of $\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, involving both coordinated and
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Figure 1
The structure of the components of the title compound, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level and only the non-H atoms in the asymmetric unit labelled. The $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$ disorder components are linked to the sulfur with dashed lines. The symmetry codes relating the labelled to the unlabelled atoms are $x,-y, z$ for the cation and $x, 1-y, z$ for the anion.


Figure 2
View of the packing along [010], with hydrogen bonds shown as dashed lines. The 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione ligands and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$ disorder components are omitted for clarity.
solvent water and sulfate anions. Indeed, only the carbonyl atom O1 is not involved in any hydrogen-bond formation (Table 1, Fig. 2). There are no obvious $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between adjacent dione ligands.

## Experimental

1,10-Phenanthroline-5,6-dione ( 0.5 mmol ) was dissolved in a hot aqueous solution ( 20 ml ) and $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and filtered. Orange single crystals of the title complex were obtained from the filtrate at room temperature in a week.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) .-\quad V=1842.41(14) \AA^{3}$
$2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad Z=4$
$M_{r}=469.28$
Monoclinic, $\mathrm{C2} / \mathrm{m}$
$D_{x}=1.692 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo K $\alpha$ radiation
$a=9.6237$ (4) A
$b=13.9117$ (6) $\AA$
$c=13.8744$ (6) $\AA$
$\mu=0.90 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$\beta=97.317(3)^{\circ}$
Block, orange
$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: none
10376 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.040$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.107$
$S=1.07$
2205 reflections
158 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

> 2205 independent reflections 1906 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.028$
> $\theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 / {\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0445 P)^{2}\right.} \\
&+3.5719 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.63 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.44 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | D-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O4-H41 $\cdots$ O | 0.90 | 1.80 | 2.697 (3) | 178 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 21 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.87 | 1.80 | 2.659 (4) | 169 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 31 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.92 | 1.79 | 2.656 (4) | 156 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 32 \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iiii }}$ | 0.87 | 1.91 | 2.747 (8) | 163 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 32 \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.87 | 1.91 | 2.747 (8) | 163 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 42 \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\text {i }}$ | 0.75 | 1.92 | 2.639 (8) | 158 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 42 \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\text {i }}$ | 0.75 | 1.98 | 2.705 (9) | 160 |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{H} 51 \cdots \mathrm{O} 8^{\prime \prime v}$ | 0.95 | 1.67 | 2.537 (13) | 151 |
| O5-H51 . $\mathrm{O}^{\text {8 }}$ | 0.95 | 1.74 | 2.654 (8) | 162 |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{H} 52 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.95 | 1.97 | 2.798 (5) | 145 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) $x-\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z$; (iii) $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+1$; (iv)
$-x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2},-z+1$; (v) $x,-y+1, z ;$ (vi) $-x+1, y,-z+1$.
Water H atoms were located in a difference map while carbonbound H atoms were positioned geometrically. All H atoms were refined as riding on the attached O or C atoms with $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O}$ or C$) ; \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.75-0.92$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$. The O atoms of the sulfate anion have high displacement parameters and the positional disorder for the O8 was resolved into three positions, O8, $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{O8}^{\prime \prime}$. The occupancy factors refined to 0.35 (2), 0.331 (14) and 0.319 (15) respectively.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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